

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 974 of 1993

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE H.K.RATHOD

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO  
to see the judgements?
  2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
  3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO  
of the judgement?
  4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO  
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution  
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
  5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

-----  
UDESINH S. SOLANKI

Versus

CHAUHAN RUPSINH B.  
-----

Appearance:

MR RA PATEL for Petitioner  
MR SD PATEL for Respondent No. 1  
NOTICE SERVED for Respondent No. 2, 4, 5, 6  
Ms. Manisha Lavkumar AGP for Respondent No. 3  
-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE H.K.RATHOD

Date of decision: 10/11/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Learned advocate Mr. R.A.Patel appearing for the  
petitioner herein has submitted that in view of the facts  
and circumstances of the case and also in view of the

passage of time, this petition has become infructuous and it does not require any decision on merits. He has submitted that the matter is, therefore, required to be disposed of as having become infructuous.

In view of the statement made at the Bar by Mr. Patel, learned advocate for the petitioner, this petition is disposed of as having become infructuous without entering into the merits of the matter. Rule is discharged. Interim relief granted earlier is vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

10.11.2000. (H.K. Rathod,J.)

Vyas